



Example of a Logic Model for a Community-Based Collaborative Program

Inputs to Collaboration	Processes / Methods Used by Collaboration	Outputs from Collaboration	Short-term Outcomes for Participants	Intermediate Outcomes for Participants	Long-Term Outcomes for Participants	Community-Level Outcomes
		<i>tangible results</i>	<i>knowledge, 0-3 months</i>	<i>skills, 3-9 months</i>	<i>conditions, 6-12 months</i>	<i>conditions, 12-36 months</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Funding from funder ▪ 8 partnering organizations ▪ Lead organization ▪ Consultants ▪ Trainers ▪ Coaches ▪ Capacity building “best practices” ▪ Youth serving assets in community ▪ Facilities for trainings, meetings, etc. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Recurring operations of each partnering organization ▪ Organizational assessment of each partnering organization ▪ Organizational development plan of each partner ▪ Recurring operations of each partner ▪ Community assessment and asset mapping ▪ Peer learning among organizational leaders ▪ Evaluation of Collaboration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Evaluation plan for Collaboration ▪ Assessment reports to partners ▪ Organization development plan per partner ▪ Strategic plan per partner ▪ Trainings and coaching sessions ▪ Peer learning sessions ▪ Status reports per partner ▪ Collaboration’s semi-annual reports to funder 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Knowledge about: ▪ Recurring best practices for nonprofits ▪ Recurring best practices for collaborations ▪ Board operations ▪ Strategic planning ▪ Programs ▪ Staffing ▪ Finances ▪ Evaluations ▪ Facilities, computers ▪ Fundraising ▪ Operating plans and policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Skills in: ▪ Capacity building for nonprofits ▪ Strategic planning ▪ Collaborating ▪ Peer coaching ▪ Board operations ▪ Strategic planning ▪ Program planning and evaluation ▪ Financial management ▪ Fundraising 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Enhanced leadership development ▪ Increased organizational effectiveness and sustainability ▪ Enhanced program effectiveness and strengthened impact ▪ More community engagement and awareness 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Community assessment and asset map ▪ Researched, proven collaborative model ▪ Strengthened youth-serving agencies in their individual, partnership efforts ▪ Reduced number of youth in gangs ▪ Reduced violence ▪ Reduced child abuse and neglect